Index

A	Appellate review. See Interlocutory
Action termination statistics, 156	appeal
Active model of judicial involvement	Appointment of lead counsel, 12
in settlement, 107–108	Asbestos MDL, 78–80, 163–164
Adjudication process and meritless	Attorneys' fees, 20, 117–118.
claims, 24	See also Windfalls for counsel
Administration of settlements, 109, 117–118, 139–140 Administrative orders, 75–76, 77, 78 Adversarial model. See Traditional adversarial model Adverse event report, 44 Advertising for claimants, 13, 80–81 Advisory Committee on Rules of Civil Procedure, 147, 150, 151 A.H. Robins bankruptcy, 164–165 Allocation of settlement funds, 17, 20–21, 81–82, 170. See also Windfalls for counsel Alternatives to multidistrict litigation bankruptcy, 161–166 class action, 159–161 individual claims litigation, 155–159	Bankruptcy, 135, 161–166 Bankruptcy Reform Act of 1994, 163 Bellwether process case selection, 90–97 case-specific issues resolution, 76–77 criticism of, 87–90 judicial education, 126 jury pool, 138 meritless claims, 24–26 multi-plaintiff trials, 99–100 plaintiff counsel, 98–99, 117 reform proposals, 149–150 scheduling orders, 54–55 as step to settlement, 85–86 trial location, 97–98 utility of, 100–101
Amchem Products case, 113,	Best practices, 15, 141, 154,
159–160	168, 170
Antitrust claims, 75	Bias and special masters, 34

Bolch Judicial Institute (Duke) guidelines action termination statistics, 156 bellwether cases, 55, 101 case management, 28–29 common issues, resolution of, 60 early disclosure, 41–42 efficiency in resolving dispositive pretrial motions, 60 guidelines as alternative to reform, 154 judicial involvement in settlement	Case-specific issues, resolution of allocation of settlement funds, 81–82 case management, 76–77 cases with thousands of claims, 78–80 common issue overlap, 69–70 lack of judicial engagement, 123 motion practice, 70–75 remandment of cases, 82–84 sanctions, 80–81 scheduling orders, 54 Case termination statistics, 156
administration, 109	Case transfer request, 8–9
phased disclosure, 52	Caseload statistics, 2
physician affidavits and causation	Causation
disputes, 77–78	discovery, 50–51
product identification, 47	Incretin Mimetics MDL, 153–154
standardized interrogatories and document requests, 45	multi-plaintiff bellwether trials, 99
Breyer, Stephen, 79	physician affidavits, 77–78
Brody, Anita, 139	scrutiny of scientific evidence,
Burch, Elizabeth Chamblee	61–64
bellwether process, 25–26	Census, initial, 144–145
lack of judicial engagement, 105	Chapter 11 bankruptcy, 135,
omnibus motions, 61	162–165
plaintiff compensation issues, 16–17	Civil Rules Advisory Committee, 142 Class action
plaintiff counsel disputes, 98	as alternative to multidistrict
settlement administration	litigation, 159–161
problems, 139	multidistrict litigation compared
windfalls for counsel, 22	to, 2, 17
C	overlap with MDL cases, 112–114
Case management, 28–32	settlements, 53, 107, 110 Class certification, 51, 112–113, 160
case-specific issues, 76-77	Class settlement, 112–114
dispositive motion practice, 64	Committees, plaintiff, 36–40, 98,
initial disclosure, 43–44, 47–48	111, 116–117, 151
innovation, 169	Common benefit fund, 36–37
remand packets, 158	Common issues, resolution of
Case numbers, 5, 12–13, 14,	case-specific issue overlap, 69-70
15–19 Case selection, bellwether, 86, 87, 90–97, 149–150	case-specific motions in parallel with, 70–72
,	

component parts suppliers, 66–67 efficiency in resolving, 57 lack of judicial engagement, 122–123, 124 scheduling orders, 54 scientific evidence, scrutiny of, 61–64 tag-along cases, 32 warning adequacy, 64–66 Compensation. See Settlement Component parts suppliers, 66–67 Conflicts, plaintiff counsel, 38–39, 116–117 Consent to bellwether cases, 95, 149–150 Constitutional concerns, 157. See also Due process Cost/benefit analysis bellwether process, 55, 100–101 multidistrict litigation consolidation, 4–5 Cost-sharing measures, 44 Court-appointed third parties. See Independent experts;	initial disclosure reform proposals, 144 interlocutory appeals, 134–135, 147 judicial education, 28 judicial engagement, dissatisfaction with, 124 multi-plaintiff bellwether trials, 99 parallel state court litigation, 130–131 party-selected bellwether cases, 92–93 phased discovery, 52 pressure to settle, 16 reform, need for, 152–154 settlement, 106–107, 110, 111 time considerations in transfer of cases, 8–9 venue choice effects, 7 Deferred remand motion rulings, 131–132 Diagnosis issues, 72, 76–78, 79 Digitek MDL, 73
Special masters Court orders and parallel state court litigation, 129	Disclosure. See Discovery and information exchange Discovery and information
Dalkon Shield IUD, 164–165 Data, collecting and publicizing, 170 Daubert motions, 62, 64, 72, 73, 105, 130 Deepwater Horizon MDL, 139–140 Defective products. See Product liability Defendants and defense counsel bellwether process criticisms, 87 costs vs benefits of multidistrict litigation, 4–5 fact sheets, 44–45 individual claims litigation, 158–159	exchange bellwether process, 94 Bolch Judicial Institute guidelines, 41–42 fact sheets, 42–47 guidelines, 41–42 medical records of plaintiffs, 55–56 parallel state court litigation, 127, 129, 130 phased discovery, 48–52, 53 product identification, 47–48 reform proposals, 143–146, 153 tag-along cases, 31–32 Dismissal, voluntary. See Voluntary dismissal

Dispositive motions	Electronic document
appellate review, 134, 148 bellwether cases and resolution	depositories, 129 Experts, 32–35, 62–63, 79
of, 86	•
claims viability, 60	Fact sheets, 42–47, 72, 143, 144
discovery, 49, 54	Fairness in Class Action Litigation
remandment of cases, 158	Act of 2017, 143, 144
Dissatisfaction with the process	Fairness of settlements, 107, 109
judge selection, 119–122 jury pool, 139–140	Fallon, Eldon, 21, 86
lack of judicial engagement,	Federal Judicial Center
122–126	bellwether cases, 85-86
parallel state court litigation,	case management guidelines,
126–132	28–29, 30
settlement administration,	efficiency in resolving dispositive pretrial motions, 60
139–140	interlocutory appeals, 134
single decision maker model, 132–138	overlapping state and national
Diversity, jurisdictional, 88	class actions, 51
Dividing large MDLs, 152	parallel proceedings, 115
Document depositories, 129	parallel state court litigation,
Document requests, 45	128, 130
Dow Corning bankruptcy, 164	phased discovery, 52
Drug warnings, 50, 64–66	random bellwether case
Dual track case-specific and generic	selection, 90 remandment of cases, 83, 89, 157
motions, 70–72	settlement negotiations, 103–104
Due process, 17, 18, 39, 53, 113	short rulings, 57
Duke University guidelines.	special masters, 34
See Bolch Judicial Institute (Duke) guidelines	Federal law preemption, 50–51
(Duke) guidennes	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure
E	applicability of, 19, 45
Early disclosure. See Discovery and	basis for dismissal of claims, 75
information exchange	class action, 107, 159–160
Economic loss claims, 160	due process, 17
Efficiency 161 162	pleading requirements, 73–74
bankruptcy, 161–162 class action proceedings, 53	pretrial conferences and scheduling orders, 30–31
individual claims litigation, 158	scheduling orders, 56
meritless claims, 18, 20	scrutiny of claims, 168
purpose of multidistrict litigation,	settlements, 107
1–2, 4–5	special masters, 32
resolution of dispositive pretrial	traditional adversarial model,
motions, 60	168, 169
scheduling orders, 56–57	Federal Rules of Evidence, 33

Filing fees, 74–75 Financial issues allocation of settlement funds, 17, 20–21, 81–82, 170 bankruptcy proceeding costs, 162 common benefit fund, 36–37 filing fees, 74–75 lawyer vs. plaintiff compensation, 21–24 meritless claims, 11–14 monetary sanctions for frivolous claims, 44 sanctions for meritless claims, 80, 81 windfalls for counsel, 21–23, 82,	Imerys Talc America, 165 Incretin Mimetics MDL, 50, 153–154 Independent experts, 32–35 Individual claims litigation, 155–159 Informal reform, 168, 169–170 Initial disclosure. See Discovery and information exchange Insys Therapeutics, 165 Inter-circuit transfer, 89, 98 Interlocutory appeal, 133–138, 146–149 Interrogatories, 45 Iqbal case, 73–74
110–111, 118 Food and Drug Administration, 50, 64–65, 153–154 Forum shopping, 7, 159 Fosamax MDL, 64–65 Fraud in settlement programs, 139–140 Front end vs. back end scrutiny of claims, 21–24, 82, 124 Funding. See Financial issues Furman, Jesse, 129 G Gamesmanship and the bellwether process, 87, 92–93 General Motors Ignition Switch litigation, 25–26, 98,	Judges attorneys' fees, review of, 117–118 bellwether case selection, 91–92 case management, 28–32 concerns over meritless claims, 18–19 lack of engagement by, 105, 122–126 lobbying for cases, 7–8 parallel state court litigation, 128–129, 130 remandment of cases, 88–89, 97–98, 131–132 review of attorneys' fees, 117–118 selection of, 119–122
Generic issues. See Common issues Generic pharmaceuticals, 65 "Good cause" for removal of bellwether cases, 96 Guidelines as alternative to reform, 154, 168 H Hearings and case management, 29, 46 Heparin MDL, 70–71, 115 Hybrid case selection processes, 96	settlement role, 104–109, 111, 150–152 single decision maker model, 132–138 See also Transferor judges Judicial education, 28, 46, 91–92, 125–126 Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation establishing the proceeding, 4–9 judge selection, 120, 121 judges' assessment of meritless claims, 18–19

Jurisdiction. See Trial location	front end vs. back end scrutiny
Jury pool, 138–139	of, 21–24 increase in numbers of, 15–19
K	initial disclosure reform
Key issues, identification of, 30, 31	proposals, 145, 146
L	monetary sanctions, 44
Labeling, drug, 64–66	settlement fraud, 139–140
Land, Clay, 18	See also Case-specific issues,
Lead counsel, 12, 107, 116–117	resolution of
Leadership committees, 36–40, 98,	Meritorious claims, 16–17, 20–21
111, 116–117, 151	Mirena MDL, 62–63
Lexecon case, 88, 149–150	Motion practice. See Case-specific
Liaisons, court, 131	issues, resolution of;
Lipitor MDL, 61–62	Common issues, resolution
Litigation funding, 13	of; Dispositive motions
Lobbying by the judiciary, 7–8	Multi-plaintiff bellwether trials,
Lone Pine orders, 49, 70, 77,	99–100
83, 112	Multidistrict litigation
•	establishing the proceeding, 4–9
M	purpose of, 1–4
Magistrate judges, 32–34, 78–79,	N
133	Narrowing the scope of
Manual for Complex Litigation (Fodoral Judicial Contact) 21	proceedings, 61–64, 75,
(Federal Judicial Center), 31, 52, 90, 128	77, 130, 158
Mass screening diagnoses, 72,	National Prescription Opiate MDL,
76–77	104, 114, 136–137, 161
Medical device and implant cases,	Negotiation class, 161
62, 66–67	Negotiations, settlement,
Medical records of personal injury	103–104, 108
plaintiffs, 55	NFL Concussion MDL, 139
Mega-MDLS, 12–13, 113–114,	Noncompliance, plaintiff fact
141–142	sheet, 46–47
Mega-multidistrict litigation cases,	Norplant MDL, 66
12–13	0
Mentor Obtape Transobturator	Omnibus motions. See Common
Sling MDL, 80–81	issues
Meridia MDL, 51, 66	Opiate litigation, 104, 114,
Meritless claims	136–137, 161, 165
allocation of settlement funds,	Opt-out cases, 111–112
20–21	Ortiz case, 113, 159–160
bellwether trials, 24–26	Outlier cases, 89, 91, 94–95
compensation for, 110	Overlapping state and national
factors leading to, 11–14	class actions, 50–51

r	meritorious ν s. meritiess ciannis,
Parallel state court litigation,	16–17
114–116, 126–132,	multi-plaintiff bellwether trials,
138–139	99–100
Party involvement in bellwether	on the need for reform, 152–153
case selection, 92–93, 95,	parallel state court litigation,
149–150	127, 132
Personal injury cases	party-selected bellwether cases,
bankruptcy, 163, 164, 165	92–93
case screening, 12–13	pleading requirements, 73-74
case-specific issues, 78–79	process transparency, 170
class certification, 113, 159–160	sanctions for meritless claims, 81
Daubert motions, 64	time considerations in transfer of
discovery and information	cases, 8–9
exchange, 51	trial location, 6–7
increase in case numbers, 15	windfalls for counsel, 21–23, 82,
medical records of plaintiffs,	110–111, 118
55–56	See also Settlement
	Pleading requirements, 73–74
Phased discovery, 48–52, 53	PLIVA, Inc. v. Mensing, 65
Phenylpropanolamine (PPA) case,	PPA case. See Phenylpropanolamine
63–64, 130	(PPA) case
Physician affidavits, 76–78	Pradaxa MDL, 105, 107
Physician claims review, 21–22	Preemption rulings, 50, 64–65
Piecemeal settlements, 115	
Plaintiff fact sheets, 42–44, 46–47,	Pretrial procedure
143, 144	conferences, 29, 30–31
Plaintiff steering committees, 36,	framework, 45–46
37, 39	judicial engagement, 105
Plaintiffs and plaintiff counsel	parallel state court litigation, 129
case screening, 12–14	purpose of multidistrict
coordination of, 35–40, 98–99	litigation, 3–4
economic loss claims, 160	third parties, involvement of, 32
financial incentive for meritless	Procedural fairness and
claims, 11–12	settlements, 107
independent expert reports, 79	Product identification, 47–48,
individual claims litigation,	71, 143
155–158	Product liability
initial disclosure reform, 143–146	component parts suppliers, 66–67
interlocutory appeals, 148	defendant fact sheets, 44
judicial engagement,	disclosure, 43
dissatisfaction with, 122-123	exposure establishment, 73
judicial review of attorneys' fees,	failure to warn, 65
117–118	initial census, 144
medical records, 55-56	meritless cases, 14

Product liability <i>(continued)</i> phased discovery, 52 plaintiff fact sheets, 46–47	Sanctions for meritless claims, 80, 81 Scheduling orders, 30–32, 49,
product identification, 47–48	53–57, 124–125, 153–154
short-form complaints, 75	Scientific evidence
<i>Propulsid</i> MDL, 21–23, 82	case-specific issues, resolution
Purdue Pharma, 165	of, 71
Purpose of multidistrict litigation, 1–4	common issues, resolution of, 61–64
R	Daubert motions, 64, 130
Random bellwether case selection,	discovery, 49
90–91	independent experts, 32–33 judicial education, 125
Recruiting of plaintiffs, 13, 72, 80–81	lack of, 50
Recusal, judge, 107–108	multi-plaintiff bellwether
Redish, Martin, 17	trials, 299
Reform proposals bellwether procedure, 149–150	parallel state court litigation, 130
benefits of, 142–143	Screening cases, 11, 145
debate regarding, 167–168	Scrutiny of claims
initial disclosure, 143–146	front end <i>vs.</i> back end scrutiny, 21–24
interlocutory appeals, 146-149	judicial engagement, lack of, 105,
judicial role, 142	122–126
need for, 141–142	scientific evidence, 61–64, 71
settlement, 150–152	weaknesses of claims
Rejection of consolidation requests, 5–6	consolidation, 14, 16-17
Remandment of cases	See also Discovery and
alternatives to multidistrict	information exchange
litigation, 155-159	Settlement 25.26.02
bellwether process, 88–89, 97–98	bellwether process, 25–26, 93, 95–96
case-specific issues, 82–84	class action examples, 53
motions for, 8–9	class settlement, 112–114
parallel state court litigation,	court role in, 104–109
131–132	fraud in, 139–140
Representative cases. See Bellwether process	grids, 21, 110
Robreno, Eduardo, 18, 59–60,	judicial efficiency, 18
78–80, 163	lack of judicial engagement, 122,
Rothstein, Barbara, 64	123–124
Roundup MDL, 127	meritless claims, 14 parallel proceedings, 114–116
Rule 23, 107, 109, 112–113, 135,	plaintiff counsel, 103–104,
150–151, 159	116–117
Rulings in tag-along cases, 31–32	pressure for, 16

reform proposals, 150–152 scrutiny of claims, 21–24 traditional adversarial model vs., 169 types, 109–112 See also Administration of settlements; Allocation of settlement funds; Windfalls for counsel Severance of multi-party claims, 74–75 Short-form complaints, 85 Short rulings, 57 Show-cause orders, 80–81 Silica MDL, 72 Silicone breast implants litigation, 164 Single decision maker model, 119–120, 132–133, 152 Special masters, 32–34, 131, 133 Standardized interrogatories and document requests, 45 State courts aggregated proceedings, 52–53 liaisons, 131 parallel proceedings, 114–116, 126–132, 138–139 remand motions, 8–9 removal from, 3 State laws, 50–51, 74 Status hearings, 46 Status reports and case management, 32 Statute of limitations, 73 Steering committees, 36, 37, 39 Subcommittees, plaintiff, 39 Summary judgment bellwether cases, 23 causation issues, 61 Fosamax case, 64–65 plaintiff support of claims vs., 77–78	Supreme Court rulings bellwether process, 88, 149 class action, 113–114, 159–160 federal law preemption, 50, 65 remandment of cases, 59 T Tag-along cases, 31–32 Talc litigation, 165 Taxotere MDL, 47–48, 74–75, 96 Test cases. See Bellwether process Third parties litigation financing, 13 settlement activities, 108 special masters and independent experts, 32–35 Time considerations interlocutory appeals, 148–149 meritless claims, 24 scheduling flexibility, 153–154 scheduling orders, 56 scrutiny of claims, 27–28 TMJ Implants MDL, 66–67 Traditional adversarial model, 14, 104, 106, 168–169 Transfer motions, 4 Transfer of cases. See Remandment of cases Transferor judges, 88–89, 97–98 Transparency, 108–109, 120, 121, 170 Trial location bellwether process, 88–89, 97–98 individual claims litigation, 157–158, 159 jury pool issues, 138 transferee district selection, 5–8, 132 Trial packages, 86 Trial pool. See Bellwether process Trial selection, bellwether, 86, 87, 90–97
rules of civil procedure, 20 scheduling orders, 49, 50, 51	

V Venue selection. See Trial location Vetoes, party bellwether cases, 94–95, 149–150 judge selection, 121–122 Viability of bellwether cases, 90–91 Vioxx MDL, 82 Voluntary dismissal, 24–25 bellwether process, 92–93, 94, 95 case management, 123 case-specific issues, 70, 71, 76

expert opinions, 126 fact sheets, 42 product identification information, 48

W

Warnings, product, 50, 64–66 Websites, court, 29 Welding Fume MDL, 76–77, 89 Windfalls for counsel, 21–23, 82, 110–111, 118 Writs of mandamus, 136