CONTENTS

Ab	out	the Editors
~		TER I
		Loss Coverage under Insuring Agreement (A)1
A.		roduction1
В.	His	story
	1.	Origins of Modern Employee Dishonesty Coverage4
	2.	Beginnings of Standard Form Policies4
	3.	Adverse Decisions Lean to Sky Rocketing Loss Rations5
	4.	SFAA's Revisions to Counteract Decisions, Including Rider
		6019, and 1980 and 1986 Revisions
	5.	Overview of Decisions Construing "Manifest Intent"
		Provision8
	6.	Most Recent Revisions to Standard Form Policies9
C.	Ov	rerview of Important Bond Provisions10
		Loan Exclusion
	2.	Insuring Agreement (A)11
		a. Pre-1976 Language
		b. 1986 Bond
		c. 2004 Bond
		d. 2005 ISO Policy
	3.	Dishonest and Fraudulent Acts
D.	Ma	nnifest Intent17

	1.	Purpose of the Manifest Intent Requirement	17
	2.	The Meaning of "Manifest Intent"	18
		a. Dictionary Definition	18
		b. Criminal Law Construction of Intent	
		c. Model Penal Code Construction of Intent	
		d. Tort Law Construction of Intent	
		e. Contractual Construction of the Term "Manifest	
		Intent under the Financial Institution Bond	30
	3.	Important Cases Construing the Manifest Intent Requirement	
		a. Natural and Probable Consequences Test	32
		b. Substantial Certainty Test	
		c. Specific Intent Test	
		d. Other Recent Cases	
E.	Ac	etive and Conscious Purpose Provision of 2004 Bond	54
F.		ecific Intent Provision of 2004 ISO Policy	
G.		ntent" Provision of Certain Proprietary Forms	
H.		ollusion Requirement of Insuring Agreement (A)	
I.		nancial Benefit Requirement of Insuring Agreement (A)	
	1.	Type and Value of Financial Benefit	
	2.	"In Connection Therewith"	
	3.	Timing of Financial Benefits	68
	4.	Unrelated Loans in a Single Claim	
	5.	Emoluments of Employment	
J.		onclusion	
		er II Loss Coverage under Insuring Agreement (E)	73
		roduction	
4 1.	1.		
	2.		
	3.	Keys to Analyzing an Insuring Agreement (E) Claim	
В.		e Insuring Agreement (E) Requirements	
υ.	1.	"Loss"	
	2.	Documents Covered	
	3.	The "Original Requirement"	
	3. 4.	The Documentary Defects Covered	
	4.	a. Forgery	
		b. Counterfeit	yu

		c. Altered Documents	93
		d. Lost Documents	94
		e. Stolen Documents	
	5.	Reliance/Actual Physical Possession	97
	6.	Good Faith	
	7.	Causation	. 103
C.	Co	nclusion	122
		er III	
		oan Loss Exclusion	
		roduction	
		Brief History of the Loan Loss Exclusion	. 124
C.		rpose and Intent of the Financial Institution Bond and	
		an Loss Exclusion	
	1.	The Financial Institution Bond Is Not Credit Insurance	. 128
	2.	Allocating the Business and Insurable Risks Between the	
		Insured and Insurer	
	3.	The Loan Loss Exclusion is "Clear and Unambiguous"	
D.	Sc	ope of the Loan Loss Exclusion	
	1.		
	2.		
E.		lative Burdens of Proof	
F.		cent Cases Involving the Loan Loss Exclusion	
G.	Co	nclusion	. 152
	-	er IV	
		nining the Amount of Loan Loss and the Potential Income	
		ion	
A.		roduction	
В.	Th	e Loss Requirement	
	1.	The Financial Institution Bond Requires an "Out-of-Pocket"	
		Loss; "Bookkeeping" Losses Are Not Covered	
	2.	Losses Must Result "Directly from" a Covered Act	. 162
	3.	All Parts of Claimed Loss Must be the Result of Covered	
		Conduct; A Pattern of Wrongful Conduct Is Not Sufficient	
	4.	The Definition of "Loss" and Interest Received on Dishones	t
		Loans	. 166

	5.	Insuring Agreement (E) Claims: Insured Only Recovers the				
		Value of Defective Collateral and Not the Entire Loan	. 169			
C.	Computing the Amount Payable Under the Bond1					
	1.	1. When Does Loss Occur?				
	2.	Application of Single Loss Limit of Liability and Deductible	;			
		Provisions				
	3.	The Mitigation Requirement	. 179			
	4.	Application of Recoveries	. 182			
		a. The Bond's Recovery Provisions	. 182			
		b. When Is a Recovery Deemed Sufficiently Established				
		That It Must Be Deducted from the Loss	.184			
		c. Interest Payments, Loan Fees and Collateral	.188			
		d. Settlements Received From Third-Parties	. 192			
		e. Recoveries From Covered and Non-Covered				
		Loans Must Be Pro-Rated	. 193			
		f. Net Recoveries May Reinstate Bond's Aggregate				
		Limit of Liability	. 197			
	5.	Insured's Expenses in Establishing the Existence of Loss				
	and In Connection with Legal Proceedings Are Excluded					
D.	Th	e Potential Income Exclusion	. 200			
	1.	History and Purpose	. 200			
	2.	Unpaid Interest on Outstanding Loan Balances	. 203			
	3.	Interest Received by the Insured on Prior Dishonest Loans				
		from Proceeds of New Dishonest Loans	. 205			
	4.	Prejudgment Interest	.211			
F.	Co	nclusion	.215			
	-	er V				
		tion in Loan Loss Cases				
A.	An	Introduction to the Financial Institution Bond				
	1.	Insuring Agreement (A) (Fidelity)				
	2.	Insuring Agreement (D) (Forgery or Alteration)	.219			
	3.	Insuring Agreement (E) (Securities) and Insuring				
		Agreement (G) (Fraudulent Mortgages)				
В.	Ca	usation Generally in the Context of a Loan Loss Case	. 221			
	1.	1	. 222			
	2.	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
		Interpretation	. 226			

\boldsymbol{C}	Causation Issues and Problems.	220
C.	Indirect or Consequential Loss	
	Actual versus Theoretical or Bookkeeping Loss	
	Good Faith	
	a. The Subjective Standard	
	b. The Objective Standardb.	
	4. Intervening and Superseding Causes	
	5. Multiple Causes	
	6. Establishing Causation in an Employee Dishonesty Case	
Б	8. Ask a Simple Question	
E.	Conclusion	260
OI.	4 37	
	apter VI	262
	scovery and Termination	
	Introduction	
В.	3	
	1. Policy Provisions	
	2. Whose Knowledge Is Considered	
	3. Sources of Knowledge	
	4. Certainty versus Mere Suspicion	269
	5. Reasonable Person: Objective versus Subjective versus	
	a Combination	271
	6. Assumption versus Charging Another with Fraud or	
	Dishonesty	
	7. Discovery by Reason of Third-Party Claims	274
C.	Discovery and Automatic Termination as to a Particular	
	Employee	274
	1. Policy Provisions	
	2. What Constitutes Fraudulent or Dishonest Acts	275
	3. When Must the Acts Have Been Committed or Discovered.	276
	4. Effect of Automatic Termination	
D.		
	Takeover by Federal or State Authorities.	
	2. Change in Control of the First Named Insured	
E.		

Ch	apt	er VII		
Sp	ecif	ic Types of Claims and Riders	283	
Ā.		roduction		
B.		counts Receivable Financing		
	1.	What is Accounts Receivable Financing		
	2.	Specific Risks Associated with Accounts Receivable		
		Financing	289	
	3.	Loss and Unique Coverage Issues		
		a. Insuring Agreement (A)		
		b. Insuring Agreements (D) and (E)		
		c. Cause of the Loss		
C.	Lo	an Participation and Syndication		
	1.	What Are Loan Syndications and Loan Participations?	296	
	2.	Specific Risks Associated with Loan Participations and		
		Loan Syndications	298	
	3.	Loss and Unique Coverage Issues		
		a. Insuring Agreement (A)	299	
		(1) Loss Incurred by Participating Banks		
		(2) Coverage for Insured's Liability to Participants	302	
		b. Insuring Agreements (D) and (E)		
		(1) Reliance and Possession	303	
		(2) Potentially Covered Documents	307	
		(a) The Loan Participation Agreement	308	
		(b) The Loan Agreement and Promissory Note		
		(c) Collateral and Related Documents		
	4.	General Fraud by Non-Insured Bank	312	
D.	Subprime Lending			
	1.	What Is Subprime Lending?	312	
	2.	Specific Risks Associated with Subprime Lending	314	
	3.	Loss and Unique Coverage Issues	315	
		a. Resulting Directly From	315	
		(1) Did the Loss Result Directly from Employee		
		Dishonesty?	315	
		(2) Did the Loss Result Directly from a Forgery or		
		Alteration?	316	
		(3) Did the Loss Result Directly from Poor		
		Underwriting Practices?	318	
		b. Lender Liability Claims	320	
E	Flo	oor Plan Financing	322	

	1.	Wl	hat Is Floor Plan Financing?	. 322
	2.		ecific Risks Associated with Floor Plan Financing	
	3.	•	ss and Unique Coverage Issues	
		a.	Submission of Counterfeit, Forged, or False	
			Financial Records, Certificates of Origin or Title,	
			or Other Supporting Documents	. 324
			(1) Counterfeit versus Forged	
			(2) Causation	
		b.	Selling Inventory Out of Trust	
		c.	Obtaining Advances on Fictitious Collateral	
		d.	Obtaining Multiple Advances against the Same	
			Collateral	. 330
		e.	Inability to Sell Collateral	
		f.	Collateral's Decline in Value	
F.	Eq	uipr	nent Lease Financing	
	1.		hat Is Equipment Lease Financing?	
	2.		ecific Risks Associated with Equipment Lease Financing.	
	3.		tentially Relevant Coverage Provisions	
		a.	·	
		b.		
G.	Mo	ortga	age Warehouse Lending	
	1.		hat Is Mortgage Warehouse Lending?	
	2.		ecific Risks Associated with Mortgage Warehouse	
			nding	.336
	3.		verage for Losses Associated with Mortgage Warehouse	
			nding	.337
		a.	Insuring Agreement (A)	
		b.	Insuring Agreement (D)	
			(1) Is the Promissory Note a Negotiable Instrument?	
			(2) Is the Promissory Note an Evidence of Debt?	
		c.	Insuring Agreement (E)	
			(1) Loss Resulting Directly From Having in Good Faith	
			Extended Credit or Acted in Reliance Upon	
			(2) Actual Physical Possession	
	4.	Ca	se Law Addressing Mortgage Warehouse Lending	
Η.			ilent Mortgage Rider	
	1.		verage Provided	
	2.		ses Interpreting the Rider	
I.			ing Contractor's Rider	
	~ 0			

J.	1. 2. Cor	Coverage Provided	352
	-	er VIII	
		ompensability of Warehouse Lending Losses	
		roduction	
В.		e Warehouse Lending Process	
	1.	Mortgage Brokers versus Mortgage Bankers	
	2.	Warehouse Lending Process	
	3.	Wet versus Dry Funding	
	4.	The Risk of Fraud: Fraud for Housing versus Fraud for Profit?	359
C.		mpensability of Warehouse Lending Loss Under a Financial	
	Ins	titution Bond	
	1.	Insuring Agreement (D) (Forgery)	362
		a. Qualifying Impairment: Insuring Agreement (D)	
		Only Governs Claims Involving a Forged	
		or Altered Document	
		(1) Definition of Forgery	
		(2) Definition of Alteration	364
		b. Qualifying Document: Not All Promissory Notes	
		Constitute a Negotiable Instrument	367
		c. "Resulting Directly" Standard: Financial Institution	
		Bonds Do No Cover Losses Stemming from the	
		Extension of Credit Against Fictitious Collateral	
		(1) Union Planters v. Continental Casualty Co	
		(2) Insured's Expectations About Coverage	
		(3) Proximate Causation	
	2.	Insuring Agreement (E) (Securities)	381
		a. Covered Instruments: A Promissory Note Constitutes	
		An "Evidence of Debt"	382
		b. Possession of the Original: Wet Funding Are Not	
		Covered Under a Financial Institution Bond	385
		c. Reliance: Warehouse Lenders Rely upon an	
		Investor's Commitment to Purchase the Loan	389
		d. Good Faith: Ignorance of Red Flags, Repeated	
		Policy Violations and Failure to Comply with	
		Reasonable Commercial Standards of Fair Dealing	

	Is Bad Faith	391
	e. Causation: Losses Stemming from the Extension of	
	Credit Against Fictitious Collateral Are Not Covered	398
D.	Conclusion	402
	apter IX	
	an Loss Claims Under D&O and E&O Policies	
A.	Introduction	
B.	Professional Liability Policies and Fidelity Bonds Compared	404
C.	Coverage under Professional Liability Policies	407
	1. Typical Professional Liability Insuring Agreements and	
	Definitions	407
	2. The Professional Services Requirement	410
	3. Intentional Acts and the Fraud Exclusion	412
D.	Scope of Coverage for Loan Losses under Typical Directors	
	and Officers Liability Policies	415
	1. Cases Distinguishing Fidelity and D&O Coverage	416
	2. Typical D&O Insuring Agreements and Definitions	418
	3. Intentional Acts and the Fraud Exclusion	
E.	Potential Professional Liability and D&O Claims Arising	
	Out of the Subprime Lending Crisis	423
F.	Conclusion	425
	apter X an Losses: A Banking Expert's Perspective	127
	Introduction	
	Sources of Industry Standards for Practice and Performance	
C.	Internal Fraud: Sources and Means of Mitigation If Not	420
С.	Prevention	430
	1. Anonymous Reporting	
	Accurate Information Is Essential	
	3. In Bank Management, People Matter	
D.		
	First National Bank of Keystone	
	2. First State Bank	
	a. Bad Acts by a Bad Actor	
	b. What Is Expected of Bankers	

		c.	Why Accurately Reporting Past Dues and Other Issues Matters	443
E.	Red	com	mendations	
			_	
	_	er X		
			and Using Regulatory Reports and Suspicious eports	117
AC		•	action	
В.			ound	
ъ.	1.		e Insured as the First Source of Information in	++)
	1.		vestigating Claims	449
		a.	Notice of Loss, Proof of Loss, and Cooperation Clause	
		b.	Application and Underwriting Materials	
	2.		nfidentiality Requirements with Respect to Certain	
			tegories of Documents	453
		a.	Reports of Examination	
		b.	Documents Related to Regulatory Agency	
			Enforcement Actions	454
		c.		
	3.	Co	verage Issues Implicating the Usefulness of Regulatory	
			ports and SARs	455
		a.	Discovery of Loss/Knowledge of Dishonesty	455
		b.	Fraud in the Inducement	
		c.	Purpose/Direct Loss	464
C.	Re	port	s of Examination	465
	1.	Th	e Form and Content of ROEs	465
	2.	Pol	licy Against Disclosure of ROEs to Third Parties,	
		Inc	luding Insurers	470
	3.	Ob	taining Copies of ROEs	473
		a.	Ownership of ROEs	473
		b.	Confidentiality	
			(1) Process of Obtaining Agency Authorization	475
			(2) Standards for Obtaining Agency Authorization	
D.	Do	cum	ents Related to Enforcement Actions	485
E.			ious Activity Reports	
F.			e Pointers	
G.			sion	
H.	Inte	erne	t Glossary	504

	1.	Article	
	2.	Government Agencies	. 504
Ch	apt	er XII	
Qu	ant	ifying Loan Loss Adjustments	. 507
A.	Int	roduction	. 507
B.	Ty	pes of Loans, With Definitions	
	1.	Unsecured Loans	. 508
	2.	Loans By Type of Collateral	. 509
	3.	Loans By Type of Repayment Terms	.510
	4.	Loans By Structure of Underlying Transaction	.512
	5.		
	6.	Other Unique Loan Features	. 514
C.	Ch	ecklist of Contents of Loan File	. 515
	1.	Individual Borrowers	. 515
	2.	Corporate Borrowers	.516
D.	Ty	pes of Financial Institution System Reports to Monitor Loans	
	Af	ter Origination and Funding	.517
	1.	Delinquency Reports	.517
	2.	Watch Lists	.518
	3.	Site Visit Inspection Reports	.518
	4.	Internal Audit Reports	.518
	5.		
	6.	Over-Draft Reports	
E.	Fir	nancial Institution's Duty to Conduct Its Own Underwriting	
F.	Se	veral Other Regulatory Issues Relating to Loans	.519
	1.	Limit on Loans to One Borrower	
	2.	Regulatory Examinations	. 520
	3.	Regulatory Loan Grading (Classification) System and	
		Related Write-Downs Required By Regulators	. 520
	4.	Cease and Desist Orders	
	5.	Other Supervisory Actions	
G.		e Process of Adjusting Loan Loss Claims: In General	
Η.		counting Adjustments Potentially Applicable to Virtually	
		Claims Under Insuring Agreement (A)	.522
		Mathematical Errors	
		Lack of Supporting Documentation	
		Occurrence Issues	

	4.	Causation Issues	523
	5.	"Employee" Issues	524
	6.	Alter Ego Issues	
	7.	Indirect or Consequential Loss Issues	524
	8.	Earlier Discovery and Termination Issues	
	9.	Limit of Liability Issues	
	10.	Lateness Issues	525
	11.	Third Party Losses	525
	12.	Bookkeeping Losses Versus Out-of-Pocket Losses	526
	13.	Claims for Salaries, Bonuses and Commissions Paid	
		to the Employee	526
	14.	Losses Sustained in Foreign Currencies	
	15.	Number of Deductible Issues	527
	16.	Losses Reduced by Profits Realized Directly From	
		Dishonest or Fraudulent Acts	527
	17.	Change in Ownership and/or Control of the Insured	528
	18.	Losses Covered by Other Insurance	528
	19.	Fees and Costs Associated with Establishing the	
		Existence and Amount of the Losses Claimed, or	
		as a Party to a Legal Proceeding	529
	20.	Losses Otherwise Covered, but for the Insured's	
		Prejudice of the Underwriter's Rights to Salvage	529
	21.	Recoveries	530
	22.	Salvage Issues, If the Insured Is Maintaining the Same	530
I.	Ad	justments Potentially Applicable Solely to Loan Losses	530
	1.	Lack of Collusion with Borrowers	530
	2.	Lack of Financial Benefit to the Employee	531
	3.	Valuation of Pledged Collateral: Under Insuring	
		Agreement (A)	531
	4.	Valuation of Pledged Collateral: Under Insuring	
		Agreement (E)	532
	5.	Loan Losses Resulting Directly from Bad Business	
		Decisions	532
	6.	Loan Participation Issues When the Insured Is Also the Loan	
		Originator and Loan Servicer	533
	7.	Potential Income Including Interest and Dividends Not	
		Realized by the Insured	533
	8.	Interest and Fees "Recovered" by the Insured	
	9.	Unique Loan Loss Calculation Provisions Contained in	
		-	

	Selected Bonds	535
J.	Adjustments Having More than One Basis	
	Detailed Example of an Adjustment Schedule	
	1. The Proof of Loss	
	2. The Investigation of the Claims	
	3. Quantification of the Adjustments	
L.	Conclusion.	
	00101011	
	apter XIII	
	vestigation of a Loan Loss Claim, Including Potential E	
	plications That May Arise	543
A.	Importance of the Investigation to Accurately Determine	
	Coverage and Avoid Bad Faith Implications	543
	1. An Accurate Coverage Decision Is the Result of a	
	Comprehensive and Organized Investigation	
	2. Bad Faith Considerations	
B.	The Cornerstone of Determining Coverage Is a Full and C	Complete
	Investigation	550
	1. The Importance That the Proof of Loss Be Reasonabl	y
	Supported By Facts and Documentation	550
	2. Meeting with the Insured at the Beginning of the	
	Investigation	551
C.	General Areas of Inquiry in Any Loan Loss Claim	553
	1. Date of Discovery	553
	2. Facts Surrounding the Claim	554
	3. Quantification of the Loss	555
	4. Termination of Coverage	
D.	Early in the Investigation, the Insurer Should Request	
	Pertinent Documentation from the Insured	557
	1. Types of Documents to Request	
	2. Ethical and Other Considerations That May Arise In	
	Connection with Employee Personnel Files and the	
	Proof of Loss	560
	3. Checklist of Potentially Relevant Documents in a Loa	
	Loss Claim	
E.	Conducting Examinations under Oath	
F.	Duty of Cooperation by the Insured	
-	1. The Insured's Failure or Refusal to Fully Cooperate	

		with the Proof of Loss Requirements or Examinations	
		Under Oath	564
	2.	Is the Duty to Cooperate Construed as a Covenant or a	
		Condition?	565
	3.	The Insured's "Substantial Compliance" with a Request	
		for "Reasonable" Information May Be Enough	567
	4.	Actual Prejudice May be Required in Order for the	
		Insurer to be Relieved of its Obligations under a	
		Policy or Bond	571
	5.	The Insurer Will Likely Have to Demonstrate Its	
		Diligent Efforts to Obtain the Insured's Cooperation	575
G.	Iss	ues That Arise When the Insured Refuses to Provide	
	Inf	ormation Relevant to the Claim Investigation Based	
		Privilege	577
	1.	The Attorney-Client Privilege	
		(a) Does the Insured Seek to Prevent the Disclosure of	
		Communications or Facts?	578
		(b) To Whom Was the Communication Made	579
		(c) Was the Communication Made for the Purpose of	
		Obtaining Legal Advice	580
		(d) Was the Communication Made in Confidence?	582
	2.	The Work Product Doctrine	
		(a) Overview of the Work Product Doctrine	585
		(b) The Work Product Doctrine Often Does Not Apply	
		to the Insurer's Claims Investigation	586
		(c) Invocation of the Fifth Amendment During a Claim	
		Investigation	586
		(d) The Insured's Fear of Defamation	
Н.	Iss	ues Concerning Privilege that Arise During Investigation	
	Up	on the Retention of Outside Consultants	587
I.		e Importance of Obtaining Documents from Third Parties	
		Regulatory Documents	
	2.	"Suspicious Activity Reports" and Other Criminal	
		Investigation Documents	598
J.	The	e Inadvertent Disclosure of Confidential Information	
		ough Electronic Disclosure	600
		What Is "Metadata"?	
		Preventing Inadvertent Disclosure	
K.		nclusion	

	hapter XIV	602
	he Use of Consulting and Testifying Experts	
A.		
В.	1	
	1. Initial Decision: Consulting, Testifying, or Both?	
	2. Scope Common to Consulting and Dual Expert Rol	
	a. Acquiring Documents and Information	
	b. Deciphering Documents and Simplifying the Co	
	c. Evaluating the Importance of Facts	
	d. Translating the Technical, Esoteric, and Unusua	
	3. Scope of Services for the Testifying Expert	
	a. Organizing and Telling the Plot Points	
	b. Establishing Standards of Conduct, Reasonable	
	Etc.	
<u> </u>	c. Rebutting the Opposing Expert	
C.	Considerations Relating to the Expert	
	1. Education and Training	
	2. Experience in the Area and as a Consultant or Witn	
	3. Effectiveness	
	4. Geography	
	5. Cost and Other Terms of Engagement	
	6. Consulting, Testifying or Both	
_	7. Familiarity	615
D.	, E	
	1. The Consulting Expert	
	2. The Testifying Expert	
	3. The Hybrid Expert	
E.	8 8 1 8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
	Counsel and the Expert	
	1. Who Should Retain the Expert and Why Does it Ma	
	2. Division of Responsibility Amongst the Team	
	3. Written Reports and <i>Daubert</i>	
F.	r	
	1. The Witness' Preparation	
	2. Counsel's Role	
	3. Presentation at Deposition	
	4. Sequestration; Exception for Expert	
	5. Presentation at Trial	640
G	Conclusion	6/11

Appendix A: Form Engagement Letter	643
Master Appendix	647
1986 Standard Form No. 24 Financial Institution Bond	649
Statement of Change, 1986 Financial Institution Bond	655
2004 Standard Form No. 24 Financial Institution Bond	659
Filing Letter for 2004 Financial Institution Bond	667

About the Editors

- **Gilbert J. Schroeder** Partner in the Chicago, Illinois, office of Clausen Miller, P.C. Mr. Schroeder is a graduate of De Paul University, A.B. 1967, and J.D. 1970. He is a Vice-Chair of the Fidelity and Surety Law Committee
- **John J. Tomaine** Vice-President, Fidelity Claims Counsel with Chubb & Son. Mr. Tomaine is a graduate of Moravian College, B.A., and Western New England College School of Law, J.D.

About the Contributors

- **Samuel J. Arena, Jr.** Partner of the Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, law firm of Stradley Ronon Stevens & Young, LLP. Mr. Arena graduated, *magna cum laude*, from Ursinus College and, cum laude, from Villanova University School of Law. He is Past Chair of the Fidelity and Surety Law Committee, Tort Trial and Insurance Practice Section, of the American Bar Association and a Vice-Chair of the Fidelity and Surety Committee of the International Association of Defense Counsel.
- **Lisa A. Block** Claim Director for CNA Pro Custom Corporate and Financial Claims in Cranbury, New Jersey. Ms. Block is a graduate of the University of Delaware, B.A., 1984, and Seton Hall University School of Law, J.D., 1987. She is a Vice-Chair of the Fidelity and Surety Law Committee.
- **John W. Burdiss** Mr. Burdiss graduated from West Virgina University, B.S., 1971, the Southwestern Graduate School of Banking, Southern Methodist University, Dallas, Texas, 1979, and earned his J.D. from Regent University School of Law, Virginia Beach, 2005.
- **Michelle M. Carter** Associate in the Minneapolis, Minnesota, law firm of Meagher & Geer, P.L.L.P. Ms. Carter graduate from the University of South Dakota, B.S., 1999, M.B.A., 2001, J.D., 2004
- **Lisa Le Nay Coplen •** Partner with the Los Angeles, California, law firm of Anderson, McPharlin & Conners L.L.P. Ms. Coplen is a

graduate of the University of Southern California, B.S., 1986, and Loyola Law School, J.D., 1989. Ms. Coplen serves as Vice-Chair for the Committee on Professional Liability Insurance for the California State Bar.

Jeffrey W. Dillon • Associate in the New York City office of Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, L.L.P. Mr. Dillon earned his B.A. from Brown University, and his J.D. from Fordham University School of Law.

Richard E. Elsea • Assistant Vice-President, and Senior Claim Examiner for Chubb & Son's Southern Specialty Office in Dallas, Texas. Mr. Elsea is a graduate of the University of Missouri, St. Louis, B.S.B.A., 1978, and the University of Tulsa College of Law, J.D., 1983.

Edward G. Gallagher • General Counsel of the Surety and Fidelity Association of America. Mr. is a graduate of Williams College and the George Washington University National Law Center. He is also a shareholder in the Vienna, Virginia, office of Wickwire Gavin, P.C.

Peter C. Haley • Partner, Nielsen, Haley & Abbott, L.L.P., San Francisco and Los Angeles, California. Mr. Haley earned his A.B. and J.D. from the University of California at Berkeley and is a Vice-Chair of the Fidelity and Surety Law Committee, Tort and Insurance Practice Section of the American Bar Association.

Marianne Johnston • Senior Associate with the Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, law firm of Stradley Ronon Stevens & Young, LLP. Ms. Johnston graduated from Lafayette College and, with honors, from George Washington University School of Law.

Michael Keeley • Partner in the Dallas, Texas, office of Strasburger & Price, LLP. Mr. Keeley is a graduate of the University of Arizona, BS summa cum laude, with honors, 1977; J.D., 1981. Mr. Keeley is the Immediate Past Chair of the Fidelity & Surety Law Committee, an Advisor to the Surety & Fidelity Association of America and to the Fidelity Law Association, and a former editor-in-chief of the Tort & Insurance Law Journal.

- Andrew S. Kent Counsel in the West Orange, New Jersey, and New York, New York, law firm of Wolff & Samson, P.C., West Orange, New Jersey. Mr. Kent graduated from Rutgers College, B.A., 1988 (General Honors Program, Phi Beta Kappa), and from the Rutgers University School of Law Newark, J.D., 1992
- **James M. McCullough III** Partner in the New York City office of Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, L.L.P. Mr. McCullough earned his A.B. from Brown University, with honors, and his J.D. from the Georgetown University Law Center.
- **John J. McDonald, Jr.** Partner in the Minneapolis, Minnesota, law firm of Meagher & Geer, P.L.L.P. Mr. McDonald earned his B.A. from the University of St. Thomas and his J.D. from Creighton University.
- **Robert J. Moody** President of Moody's, Inc, a CPA firm located in Orland Park, Illinois. Mr. Moody received his B.S. degree in accounting from DePaul University in Chicago. He is a Certified Public Accountant and Certified Fraud Examiner. His practice is confined to surety and fidelity bond claims.
- **Sarah Mubashir** Senior Claim Counsel Travelers Bond & Financial Products Claim. Ms. Mubashir received her undergraduate degree from the University of California at Berkeley and earned a J.D. from St. John's University School of Law.
- **Christopher C. Novak** Partner in the New York City office of Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, L.L.P. Mr. Novak is a graduate of from Montclair State College, B.A. *cum laude*, 1991, Fordham University School of Law, J.D., 1994.
- **Dolores A. Parr** Managing Counsel with Zurich American Insurance Company in Baltimore, Maryland. Ms. Parr is a graduate of Towson University, B.A. *magna cum laude*, 1974, and the University of Baltimore School of Law, J.D., 1977.
- Maura Z. Pelleteri Partner in the New Orleans, Louisiana, law firm of Krebs, Farley & Pelleteri, L.L.C. Ms. Pelleteri is a graduate of the University of Maryland, B.S., and Loyola University, J.D., 1983.

Joesph G. Perry • Senior Vice-President, AIG Domestic Claims, Fidelity Bonds Claims, New York, New York. Mr. Perry graduated from the University of Maryland, Baltimore County, B.A., 1974, and from the University of Baltimore, J.D., 1978.

Elizabeth A. Popovich • Travelers Insurance Company. Ms. Popovich graduated from the University of Akron School of Law, J.D., 1997, and earned her B.A. degree from the Youngstown State University in 1990. Ms. Popovich is a licensed adjuster in several states.

Jeffrey S. Price • Partner in the Nashville law firm of Manier & Herod, P.C. He is a graduate of Gardner Webb University, *magna cum laude*, and the University of Tennessee College of Law, *summa cum laude* and Order of the Coif.

Scott L. Schmookler • Partner in the Chicago, Illinois, office of Clausen Miller, P.C. Mr. Schmookler earned his B.A., *cum laude*, from Bradley University, and his J.D., with honors, from IIT – Chicago Kent College of Law.

Armen Shahinian • Member of the law firm of Wolff & Samson, P.C., West Orange, New Jersey. He is a graduate of Brown University and New York University School of Law and is a Past Chair of the Fidelity and Surety Law Committee, Tort and Insurance Practice Section, of the American Bar Association.

Daniel H. Simnowitz • Associate in the New York City office of Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, L.L.P. Mr. Simnowitz earned his B.A. from Boston University, *magna cum laude*, his M.A. from the London School of Economics and Political Science, with merit, and his J.D. from Boston University School of Law, *cum laude*.

Gregory R. Veal • Member of the Atlanta, Georgia, law firm of Bovis Kyle & Burch, L.L.C. Mr. Veal graduated from Furman University, B.A. *magna cum laude*, and the University of Georgia, J.D., *magna cum laude*.

Tracey Vispoli • Vice-President, Chubb Specialty Insurance, a division of Chubb & Son, Warren, New Jersey. Ms. Vispoli graduated from Fairleigh Dickinson University, B.A. (accounting), 1986. Ms. Vispoli is also on the board of directors of the Surety and Fidelity Association of America (SFAA), where she also serves as Chairperson of the Fidelity Advisory Committee.

Justin D. Wear • Associate in the Nashville, Tennessee office of Manier & Herod, P.C. Mr. Wear earned his B.A. from the University of the South, *magna cum laude*, in 2001, and his J.D. from the University of Tennessee College of Law, *summa cum laude* and Order of the Coif, in 2004.

Joel T. Wiegert • Associate in the Minneapolis, Minnesota law firm of Meagher & Geer, P.L.L.P. Mr. Wiegert is a graduate of Concordia University, B.A., 1997, and the Drake University Law School, J.D., with high honors, 2000.